Segment Item Reliability Table (n=592)

Segments: Positive Items and Subscales - Setback

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | | Inter-Rater Agreement | | | | Rater | | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | | % agreement | |
| S1\_26 | What is the smallest building setback from the sidewalk? | 1-6 | 592 | | .678 | | 65.0 | | Rater 1 | | 3.08 | .882 | No building:11  <10ft: 133  10-20ft: 282  21-50ft: 134  51-100ft: 27  >100ft: 5 |
| Rater 2 | | 3.13 | .917 | No building:15  <10ft: 123  10-20ft: 264  21-50ft: 157  51-100ft: 26  >100ft: 7 |
| S1\_27 | What is the largest building setback from the sidewalk/walkway? | 1-6 | 592 | | .522 | | 50.7 | | Rater 1 | | 4.30 | 1.24 | No building:11  <10ft: 22  10-20ft: 117  21-50ft: 208  51-100ft: 95  >100ft: 139 |
| Rater 2 | | 4.40 | 1.23 | No building:15  <10ft: 14  10-20ft: 98  21-50ft: 203  51-100ft: 117  >100ft: 145 |
| S1\_26\_27\_0 | Setback recode:  Setbacks for 26 or 27 >50 ft. Or no blg. | 0-1 | 592 | | .648 | | 95.1 | | Rater 1 | | .072 | .257 | 0: 550  1: 42 |
| Rater 2 | | .079 | .271 | 0: 545  0:47 |
| S1\_26\_27\_1 | Setback recode: Setbacks for 26 or 27 all other | 0-1 | 592 | | .289 | | 70.9 | | Rater 1 | | .696 | .460 | 0: 180  1:412 |
| Rater 2 | | .733 | .443 | 0: 158  1:434 |
| S1\_26\_27\_2 | Setback recode: Both setbacks 10-20 ft. Or one setback <10 ft/one setback 10-20 ft. | 0-1 | 592 | | .231 | | 77.1 | | Rater 1 | | .199 | .400 | 0: 474  1:118 |
| Rater 2 | | .166 | .372 | 0: 494  1:98 |
| S1\_26\_27\_3 | Setbacks: Both setbacks <10 ft. | 0-1 | 592 | | .159 | | 95.4 | | Rater 1 | | .034 | .181 | 0: 572  1:20 |
| Rater 2 | | .022 | .147 | 0: 579  1:13 |
| Item | Item Content | Range | | N | | Inter-Rater Agreement | | | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | | % agreement | |
| S1\_26\_27\_points | Smallest and largest setbacks combined, scores  S1\_26\_27\_0, 1 = 0  S1\_26\_27\_1, 1 = 1  S1\_26\_27\_2, 1 = 2  S1\_26\_27\_3, 1 = 3 | 0-3 | | 592 | | .315 | | 58.3 | | Rater 1 | .943 | 1.11 | 0 pts: 277  1 pt: 177  2pts: 33  3pts: 105 |
| Rater 2 | .807 | 1.01 | 0 pts: 298  1 pt: 183  2pts: 38  3pts: 73 |
| S1\_28 | What is the average height of buildings? (*Count both sides of the street)* | 1-5 | | 592 | | .764 | | 96.2 | | Rater 1 | 2.06 | .28 | No building:6  1-2: 542  3-5: 44  6-10: 0  >10: 0 |
| Rater 2 | 2.05 | .29 | No building:10  1-2: 544  3-5: 37  6-10: 1  >10: 0 |
| S1\_28\_trichot | What is the average height of buildings?  Trichotomized | 0-2:  0: 0-2 stories  1: 3-5 stories  2: 6-10 stories  3:10+stories | | 592 | | .811 | | 97.5 | | Rater 1 | .074 | .26 | 0 pts: 548  1 pt: 44  2pts: 0  3pts: 0 |
| Rater 2 | .066 | .25 | 0 pts: 554  1 pt: 37  2pts: 1  3pts: 0 |

Segments: Positive Items and Subscales - Sidewalk

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_1 | Is a sidewalk present? | 0-1 | 592 | 1.00 | 100 | Rater 1 | .87 | .33 | 0: 76  1: 516 |
| Rater 2 | .87 | .33 | 0: 76  1: 516 |
| S1\_2\_recode | What is the width of the majority of the sidewalk? | 1-3 (-777 removed) | 516 | .558 | 81.8 | Rater 1 | 2.21 | .45 | <3 ft: 9  3-5 ft: 390  >5 ft: 117  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 2.18 | .46 | <3 ft: 16  3-5 ft: 390  >5 ft: 110  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_12a | If no sidewalk... Unpaved pathway (goat path) | 1 or 0 (-777 removed) | 72 | .533 | 90.2 | Rater 1 | n/a | n/a | 0: 64  1:8 |
| Rater 2 | n/a | n/a | 0: 63  1:8 |
| S1\_12b | If no sidewalk...  Street shoulder | 1 or 0 (-777 removed) | 72 | .833 | 91.7 | Rater 1 | n/a | n/a | 0: 37  1:35 |
| Rater 2 | n/a | n/a | 0: 39  1:33 |
| S1\_12c | If no sidewalk...  Buffer | 1 or 0 (-777 removed) | 72 | .489 | 97.2 | Rater 1 | n/a | n/a | 0: 69  1:3 |
| Rater 2 | n/a | n/a | 0: 71  1:1 |
| S1\_12\_sum | Combination of 12a; 12b; 12c | 0 or 1 (-777 removed) | 72 | .657 | 83.3 | Rater 1 | n/a | n/a | 0: 29  1:43 |
| Rater 2 | n/a | n/a | 0: 31  1:41 |
| S1\_1\_12 | Sidewalk presence Recode | 0-2  0=nothing,  1=alternative, 2=sidewalk | 592 | .663 | 92.7 | Rater 1 | 1.49 | .31 | 0: 31  1: 45  2: 516 |
| Rater 2 | 1.51 | .34 | 0: 32  1: 43  2: 517 |

Segments: Positive Items and Subscales - Buffers

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_3 | Is there a buffer present? | 0-1 (-777s removed) | 516 | .910 | 95.6 | Rater 1 | .46 | .50 | 0: 279  1: 237 |
| Rater 2 | .46 | .50 | 0: 272  1: 244 |
| S1\_3\_recode | Is there a buffer present? Includes -777s =0 | 0-1 | 592 | .919 | 96.1 | Rater 1 | .40 | .49 | 0: 355  1: 237 |
| Rater 2 | .41 | .49 | 0: 348  1: 244 |
| S1\_3b | How wide is the majority of the buffer? | 1-3 (-777s removed) | 229 | .851 | 96.5 | Rater 1 | 2.13 | .69 | <3 ft: 41  3-5 ft: 118  >5 ft: 70  363 missing: no sidewalk or no buffer |
| Rater 2 | 2.17 | .66 | <3 ft: 34  3-5 ft: 123  >5 ft: 72  363 missing: no sidewalk or no buffer 8 |
| S1\_3b\_dichot | How wide is the majority of the buffer? Dichotomized | 0-1  -777 and 0-3 ft=0, 3-5+=1 | 592 | .894 | 95.3 | Rater 1 | .33 | .47 | 0: 399  1:193 |
| Rater 2 | .35 | .48 | 0: 387  1:205 |

Segments: Positive Items and Subscales – Bike Infrastructure

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_14 | Is there a marked bicycle lane marked with a line or a raised curb? | 0-1 | 592 | .790 | 97.3 | Rater 1 | .07 | .26 | 0: 549  1: 43 |
| Rater 2 | .07 | .25 | 0: 553  1: 39 |
| S1\_14\_recode | Is there a marked bicycle lane marked with a line or a raised curb?  Recode | 0-2 | 592 | .790 | 97.3 | Rater 1 | .07 | .26 | 0: 549  2: 43 |
| Rater 2 | .07 | .25 | 0: 553  2: 39 |
| S1\_15 | Are there any signs indicating bicycle use (share the road, etc.)? | 0-1 | 601 | .676 | 97.1 | Rater 1 | .04 | .21 | 0: 566  1: 26 |
| Rater 2 | 2.17 | .66 | 0: 563  2: 29 |

Segments: Positive Items and Subscales – Building Aesthetics and Design

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_19 | Estimate the proportion of street segment that has ground floor or street-level windows within 40 feet of sidewalk/walkway | 1-4 (-777s removed) | 443 | .571 | 56.4 | Rater 1 | 2.83 | 1.19 | 1-25%: 115  26-50%: 58  51-75%: 111  76-100%: 193  No windows: 115 |
| Rater 2 | 2.83 | 1.22 | 1-25%: 126  26-50%: 70  51-75%: 88  76-100%: 200  No windows: 108 |
| S1\_19\_trichot | Proportion of street segment w/windows within 40 feet of sidewalk/walkway Trichotomized | 0-2  No wind-25%=0  26-75%=1  >76%=2 | 592 | .629 | 65.8 | Rater 1 | .938 | .844 | 0: 230  1: 169  2: 193 |
| Rater 2 | .943 | .856 | 0: 234  1: 158  2: 200 |
| S1\_20 | How many different predominant building façade colors exist on the street segment? | 1-4 (-777s removed) | 584 | .594 | 63.7 | Rater 1 | 2.18 | .716 | 1: 97  2-3: 293  4-6: 185  >6: 9 |
| Rater 2 | 2.17 | .695 | 1: 88  2-3: 322  4-6: 162  >6: 12 |
| S1\_20\_trichot | How many different predominant building façade colors exist?  Trichotomized | 0-2  no building or 1 color=0  2-3 colors=1  >4 colors=2 | 592 | .593 | 65.1 | Rater 1 | 1.16 | .693 | 0: 103  1:294  2: 195 |
| Rater 2 | 1.14 | .660 | 0: 94  1:323  2: 175 |
| S1\_21 | How many different building accent colors exist on the street segment? | 1-4 (-777s removed) | 585 | .552 | 60.9 | Rater 1 | 2.14 | .725 | 1: 103  2-3: 315  4-6: 151  >6: 16 |
| Rater 2 | 2.16 | .686 | 1: 86  2-3: 335  4-6: 151  >6: 13 |
| S1\_21\_trichot | How many different building accent colors? Trichotomized | 0-2  no building or 1 color=0  2-3 colors=1  >4 colors=2 | 592 | .549 | 63.5 | Rater 1 | 1.10 | .676 | 0: 109  1: 316  2: 167 |
| Rater 2 | 1.12 | .647 | 0: 92  1: 336  2: 164 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_22 | How many different predominant building materials (e.g., brick, concrete, steel, wood) exist along the street segment? | 1-4 (-777s removed) | 585 | .577 | 77.7 | Rater 1 | 1.62 | .526 | 1: 233  2-3: 340  4-6: 12  >6: 0 |
| Rater 2 | 1.64 | .499 | 1: 218  2-3: 362  4-6: 5  >6: 0 |
| S1\_22\_dichot | How many different predominant building materials ?  Dichotomized | 0-1  1 material=0  >1=1 | 592 | .586 | 80.2 | Rater 1 | .595 | .491 | 0: 240  1: 352 |
| Rater 2 | .620 | .486 | 0: 225  1: 367 |

Segments: Positive Items and Subscales – Trees

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_23 | How many trees exist within 5 feet of either side of the sidewalk/pathway (in buffer or setback; also trees >5 feet away if they provide shade for the sidewalk/pathway) | 1-5 (-777s removed) | 553 | .817 | 64.7 | Rater 1 | 2.63 | 1.24 | 0 or 1: 107  2-5: 187  6-10: 122  11-20: 80  21+: 57 |
| Rater 2 | 2.59 | 1.19 | 0 or 1: 102  2-5:197  6-10:127  11-20:79  21+:48 |
| S1\_23\_trichot | How many trees exist within 5 feet of either side of the sidewalk/pathway? Trichotomized | 0-2  -777 and 0-1 trees = 0  2-10 trees = 1  >11 trees = 2 | 592 | .738 | 78.9 | Rater 1 | .995 | .687 | 0: 141  1: 313  2: 138 |
| Rater 2 | .993 | .671 | 0: 135  1: 326  2: 138 |
| S1\_24 | How are the trees generally spaced? | 1-2 (-777s removed) | 419 | .464 | 73.5 | Rater 1 | 1.45 | .498 | Evenly: 229  Irregularly: 190 |
| Rater 2 | 1.44 | .497 | Evenly: 236  Irregularly: 183 |
| S1\_24\_recode | How are the trees generally spaced?  Recode | 0-1  evenly=1, irregularly and -777=0 | 592 | .530 | 77.2 | Rater 1 | .404 | .491 | 0: 535  1: 239 |
| Rater 2 | .422 | .494 | 0: 342  1: 250 |
| S1\_25 | What percentage of the length of the sidewalk/walkway is covered by trees, awnings or other overhead coverage? | 1-5 (-777s removed) | 419 | .546 | 55.4 | Rater 1 | 2.18 | 1.20 | 1-25%: 172  25-50%: 94  51-75%:66  76-100%:81  No coverage:6 |
| Rater 2 | 2.05 | 1.17 | 1-25%: 191  25-50%: 93  51-75%: 62  76-100%: 68  No coverage:5 |
| S1\_25\_trichot | What percentage of sidewalk/walkway is covered by trees/other overhead coverage? | 0-2  -777 and no coverage–25% = 0; 26%-75% = 1; >75% = 2 | 592 | .617 | 70.0 | Rater 1 | .566 | .726 | 0: 340  1: 169  2: 83 |
| Rater 2 | .529 | .705 | 0: 352  1: 167  2: 73 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| S1\_17 | Is there an informal path (shortcut), not on a cul-de-sac which connects to something else? | 0-1 | 592 | .544 | 91.6 | Rater 1 | .09 | .29 | 0: 538  1: 54 |
| Rater 2 | .11 | .32 | 0: 524  1: 68 |

Segments: Negative Items and Subscales – Sidewalk

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_4 | Is the sidewalk *continuous* within the  segment? | * 1. (-777s removed) | 516 | .796 | 93.6 | Rater 1 | .90 | .30 | 0: 53  1: 463  No sidewalk:76 |
| Rater 2 | .89 | .31 | 0: 56  1: 460  No sidewalk:76 |
| S1\_4\_recode | Is the sidewalk *continuous* within the  segment?  Recoded | * 1. (-777s removed)   No=1, yes=0 | 516 | .796 | 93.6 | Rater 1 | .90 | .30 | 0: 463  1: 53  No sidewalk:76 |
| Rater 2 | .89 | .31 | 0: 460  1: 56  No sidewalk:76 |
| S1\_5a | Are there poorly maintained sections of the sidewalk that constitute trip hazards?(*e.g, heaves, misalignment, cracks, overgrowth)*  Minor- moderate | 1-4 (-777s removed) | 516 | .671 | 84.4 | Rater 1 | 1.94 | 1.07 | 267: none  55: one  150: a few  44: a lot  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 1.93 | 1.06 | 266: none  64: one  143: a few  43: a lot  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_5a\_dichot | Are there poorly maintained sections of the sidewalk that constitute trip hazards? Minor- moderate  Dichotomized | 0-1  a few or more=1, no sidewalk or none or 1=0 | 592 | .644 | 84.4 | Rater 1 | .328 | .470 | 0: 398  1: 194  No sidewalk (0):76 |
| Rater 2 | .314 | .465 | 0: 406  1: 186  No sidewalk (0):76 |
| S1\_5b | Are there poorly maintained sections of the sidewalk that constitute trip hazards?  Major | 1-4 (-777s removed) | 516 | .531 | 82.1 | Rater 1 | 1.25 | .605 | 429: none  48: one  34: a few  4: a lot  76 no sidewalk (coded none) |
| Rater 2 | 1.27 | .636 | 423: none  49: one  38: a few  5: a lot  76 no sidewalk (coded none) |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_5b\_dichot | Are there poorly maintained sections of the sidewalk that constitute trip hazards? Major  Dichotomized | 0-1  no sidewalk or none=0, one or more=1 | 592 | .721 | 88.9 | Rater 1 | .145 | .353 | 0: 433  1: 87  No SW (0):76 |
| Rater 2 | .155 | .363 | 0: 431  1: 92  No SW (0):76 |
| S1\_8 | Are there permanent obstructions in the sidewalk? | 1-3 (-777s removed) | 516 | .476 | 88.6 | Rater 1 | 1.16 | .376 | none: 436  some: 78  many: 2  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 1.11 | .330 | none: 463  some: 50  many: 3  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_8\_dichot | Are there permanent obstructions in the sidewalk? Dichotomized | 0-1  some or many=1  none or no sidewalk=0 | 592 | .503 | 90.1 | Rater 1 | .135 | .342 | 0: 512  1: 80  No SW (0):76 |
| Rater 2 | .090 | .286 | 0: 539  1: 53  No SW (0):76 |
| S1\_9 | Are there temporary obstructions in the sidewalk? | 1-3 (-777s removed) | 516 | .529 | 78.7 | Rater 1 | 1.31 | .508 | none: 366  some: 139  many: 11  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 1.29 | .468 | none: 375  some: 145  many: 3  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_9\_dichot | Are the temporary obstructions in the sidewalk? Dichotomized | 0-1  some or many=1  none or no sidewalk=0 | 592 | .561 | 83.5 | Rater 1 | .253 | .435 | 0: 442  1: 150  No SW (0):76 |
| Rater 2 | .250 | .433 | 0: 444  1: 148  No SW (0):76 |
| Sidewalk\_Neg\_Quals | Negative Sidewalk Subscale:  4recode+5a,bdichot+8dichot+9dichot | 0-4 | 592 | .715 | 61.5 | Rater 1 | .951 | 1.02 | 0: 258  1: 166  2: 112  3: 51  4: 5 |
| Rater 2 | .904 | 1.04 | 0: 286  1: 137  2: 112  3: 54  4: 3 |

Segments: Negative Items and Subscales – Sidewalk Steepness for Kids and Seniors

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_6a | How steep is the sidewalk at the steepest point? | 0-27.8  (-777s removed) | 516 | .818 | n/a | Rater 1 | 3.23 | 3.01 | Continuous response scale  No sidewalk: 76 |
| Rater 2 | 3.19 | 3.90 | No sidewalk: 76 |
| S1\_6a\_dichot\_S | How steep is the sidewalk at the steepest point?  For Seniors  Dichotomized | 0-1 (-777s removed) | 516 | .696 | 91.9 | Rater 1 | .11 | .31 | 0: 461  1: 55  No sidewalk: 76 |
| Rater 2 | .09 | .29 | 0: 469  1: 47  No sidewalk: 76 |
| S1\_6a\_dichot\_C | How steep is the sidewalk at the steepest point?  For Children  Dichotomized | 0-1 (-777s removed) | 516 | .670 | 94.6 | Rater 1 | .11 | .31 | 0: 461  1: 55  No sidewalk: 76 |
| Rater 2 | .09 | .29 | 0: 469  1: 47  No sidewalk: 76 |
| S1\_6c | If answer to 6(b) is “Little,” provide a steepness measure that represents the majority of the segment | # or  -777 | 28 | .798 | n/a | Rater 1 | 1.47 | 1.10 | Continuous response scale  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 1.64 | 1.09 | 76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_6c\_recode\_S | If answer to 6(b) is “Little,” steepness measure-majority of the segment  Recode for Seniors | 0-3 (-777s removed) | 516 | .746 | 78.9 | Rater 1 | .434 | .759 | 0: 356  1: 117  2: 22  3: 21  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | .386 | .716 | 0: 370  1: 111  2: 17  3: 18  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_6c\_recode\_C | If answer to 6(b) is “Little,” steepness measure-majority of the segment: For children | 0-2 (-777s removed) | 516 | .775 | 94.4 | Rater 1 | .124 | .436 | 0: 473  1: 22  2: 21  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | .103 | .403 | 0: 481  1: 17  2: 18  76 no sidewalk |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_7 | What is the steepest unavoidable cross-slope that affects walkers? | 0-19.2 (-777s removed). | 516 | .579 | n/a | Rater 1 | 3.17 | 2.65 | Continuous response scale  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 2.95 | 2.34 | 76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_7\_recode\_S | What is the steepest unavoidable cross-slope that affects walkers?  Recode for Seniors | 0-3 (-777s removed) | 516 | .502 | 50.6 | Rater 1 | 1.64 | 1.17 | 0: 114  1: 139  2: 84  3: 179  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | 1.59 | 1.18 | 0: 120  1: 146  2: 75  3: 175  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_7\_recode\_C | What is the steepest unavoidable cross-slope that affects walkers?  Recode for Children | 0-2 (-777s removed) | 516 | .503 | 64.9 | Rater 1 | .857 | .905 | 0: 253  1: 84  2: 179  76 no sidewalk |
| Rater 2 | .824 | .908 | 0: 266  1: 75  2: 175  76 no sidewalk |
| S1\_6a\_S | How steep is the sidewalk at the steepest point in the segment?  For Seniors | 0-7  S1\_6a\_dichot\_S + S1\_6c\_recode\_S + S1\_7\_recode\_S | 516 | .633 | 42.4 | Rater 1 | 2.18 | 1.34 | 0: 89  1: 112  2: 93  3: 130  4: 60  5: 9  6: 11  7: 12  No sidewalk: 76 |
| Rater 2 | 2.07 | 1.63 | 0: 99  1: 129  2: 71  3: 132  4: 56  5: 7  6: 13  7: 9  No sidewalk: 76 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_6a\_C | How steep is the sidewalk at the steepest point in the segment?  For Children | 0-5  S1\_6a\_dichot\_C + S1\_6c\_recode\_C + S1\_7\_recode\_C | 516 | .596 | 60.1 | Rater 1 | 1.09 | 1.20 | 0: 230  1: 86  2: 160  3: 17  4: 11  5: 12  No sidewalk: 76 |
| Rater 2 | 1.02 | 1.19 | 0: 253  1: 70  2: 155  3: 16  4: 13  5: 9  No sidewalk: 76 |
| Sidewalk\_Neg\_Slope\_S | Seniors: Negative Slope Subscale | 0-7 | 592 | .698 | 49.8 | Rater 1 | 1.90 | 1.69 | 0/no SW: 165  1: 112  2: 93  3: 130  4: 60  5: 9  6: 11  7: 12 |
| Rater 2 | 1.80 | 1.67 | 0/no SW: 175  1: 129  2: 71  3: 132  4: 56  5: 7  6: 13  7: 9 |
| Sidewalk\_Neg\_Slope\_C | Children: Negative Slope Subscale | 0-5 | 592 | .633 | 65.2 | Rater 1 | .948 | 1.18 | 0/no SW: 306  1: 86  2: 160  3: 17  4: 11  5: 12 |
| Rater 2 | .887 | 1.16 | 0/no SW: 329  1: 70  2: 155  3: 16  4: 13  5: 9 |

Segments: Negative Items and Subscales – Building Height: Road Width + Setback Ratio

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_28 | Average building height | 1-5 | 592 | .748 | 96.2 | Rater 1 | 2.06 | .28 | 1 (no building): 6  2: 542  3: 44  4: 0  5: 0 |
| Rater 2 | 2.05 | .29 | 1 (no building): 10  2: 544  3: 37  4: 1  5: 0 |
| S1\_28\_feet | Average building height in feet (using midpoint of ranges). Top of ratio. | 0, 18, 48, 96 | 592 | .782 | 96.2 | Rater 1 | 20.05 | 8.13 | 0: 6  18: 542  48: 44 |
| Rater 2 | 19.70 | 8.32 | 0: 10  18: 544  48: 37  96: 1 |
| S1\_10 | Traffic lanes | 1-7 | 592 | .808 | 77.9 | Rater 1 | 3.62 | 1.05 | 1: 1  2: 108  3: 104  4: 318  5: 36  6: 14  7: 11 |
| Rater 2 | 3.62 | 1.10 | 1: 3  2: 120  3: 84  4: 317  5: 39  6: 18  7: 11 |
| S1\_10\_feet | Traffic lanes in feet | 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84 | 592 | .808 | 77.9 | Rater 1 | 43.42 | 12.58 | 12: 1  24: 108  36: 104  48: 318  60:36  72: 14  84: 11 |
| Rater 2 | 43.44 | 13.02 | 12: 3  24: 120  36: 84  48: 317  60:39  72: 18  84: 11 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_26 | Smallest setback | 1-6 | 592 | .678 | 65.0 | Rater 1 | 3.08 | .88 | 1 (no building): 11  2: 133  3: 282  4: 134  5: 27  6: 5 |
| Rater 2 | 3.13 | .92 | 1 (no building): 15  2: 123  3: 264  4: 157  5: 26  6: 7 |
| S1\_26\_feetmid | Smallest setback, using midpoint of range | 0, 5, 15, 35, 75, 100 | 592 | .689 | 65.0 | Rater 1 | 20.46 | 17.7 | 0: 11  5: 133  15: 282  35: 134  75: 27  100: 5 |
| Rater 2 | 21.49 | 18.29 | 0: 15  5: 123  15: 264  35: 157  75: 26  100: 7 |
| S1\_27 | Largest setback | 1-6 | 592 | .522 | 50.7 | Rater 1 | 4.30 | 1.23 | 1 (no building): 11  2: 22  3: 117  4: 208  5: 95  6: 139 |
| Rater 2 | 4.40 | 1.23 | 1 (no building):15  2: 14  3: 98  4: 203  5: 117  6: 145 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_26\_feetmid | Smallest setback, using midpoint of range | 0, 5, 15, 35, 75, 100 | 592 | .525 | 50.7 | Rater 1 | 50.96 | 33.63 | 0: 11  5: 22  15: 117  35: 208  75: 95  100: 139 |
| Rater 2 | 53.92 | 33.37 | 0: 15  5: 14  15: 98  35: 206  75: 117  100: 145 |
| S1\_26\_27\_feetmid\_avg | Average of the midpoints of smallest and largest setbacks | 0-100 | 592 | .599 | 36.4 | Rater 1 | 35.71 | 21.52 | Continuous response scale |
| Rater 2 | 37.70 | 21.63 |  |
| RdWdth\_plus\_Setbk\_avg | Road width (feet) + setback averages. Bottom of ratio. | 22-171.5 (r1)  22-160 (r2) | 592 | .622 | n/a | Rater 1 | n/a | n/a | Continuous response scale |
| Rater 2 | n/a | n/a |  |
| BldgHt\_RdWdthSetbk\_Ratio | Building Height: Road Width+Setback Avgs. Ratio | 0-1.66 (r1)  0-1.41 (r2) | 592 | .615 | n/a | Rater 1 | 2.78 | .171 | Continuous response scale |
| Rater 2 | 2.63 | .145 |  |
| BldgHt\_RdWdthSetbk\_Ratio\_Scores | Building Height: Road Width+Setback Avgs. Ratio  Scores | 0-3  <.50=0, .50-.999=1, 1-1.999=2, >2=3 | 592 | .583 | 96.1 | Rater 1 | .096 | .434 | 0: 555  1: 27  3: 10 |
| Rater 2 | .064 | .301 | 0: 560  1: 29  3: 3 |

Segments: Negative Items and Subscales – Building Height: Road Width + Setback Ratio

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| S1\_10\_dichot | How many traffic lanes are present. Dichot: 1-4 lanes=1, >=5 = 2 | 1-2 | 592 | .696 | 94.0 | Rater 1 | 1.10 | .304 | 1: 531  2: 61 |
| Rater 2 | 1.11 | .319 | 1: 524  2: 68 |
| S1\_11 | Is the street predominantly one-way or two-way? | 1-2 | 592 | .711 | 99.3 | Rater 1 | 1.99 | .16 | 1-way: 8  2-way: 584 |
| Rater 2 | 1.99 | .10 | 1-way: 6  2-way: 586 |
| S1\_11\_dichot | Is the street predominantly one-way or two-way?  Recoded | 0-1  one-way=1, two-way=0 | 592 | .711 | 99.3 | Rater 1 | n/a | n/a | 0: 584  1: 8 |
| Rater 2 | n/a | n/a | 0: 586  1: 6 |
| Neg\_Street\_Des | Negatives of street design: St. Width and 1-ways | 1-2 | 592 | .706 | 93.8 | Rater 1 | 1.12 | .321 | 1: 523  2: 69 |
| Rater 2 | 1.13 | .331 | 1: 518  2: 74 |

Segments: Overall Subscales

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item | Item Content | Range | N | Inter-Rater Agreement | | Rater | Mean | (SD) | Frequencies |
| Kappa/ICC | % agreement |
| Segments\_Pos | Sum of positive segment subscales  PosBldgHtSetbks+Sidewalk\_Pos\_Quals+Buffers\_Pos+Bike\_Infra+BldAesthDes+Trees+S1\_17 | 1-20 (r1)  0-19 (r2) | 580 (r1), 573 (r2) | .768 | 24.8 | Rater 1 | 10.11 | 3.49 | See tables below |
| Rater 2 | 10.07 | 3.31 | See tables below |
| Segments\_Neg\_Senior | Sum of negative segment subscales for seniors  Sidewalk\_Neg\_Quals+Sidewalk\_Neg\_Slope\_S+BldgHt\_RdWdthSetbk\_Ratio\_Scores | 1-13 | 512 (r1), 513 (r2) | .675 | 30.9 | Rater 1 | 4.51 | 2.07 | See tables below |
| Rater 2 | 4.31 | 2.07 | See tables below |
| Segments\_Neg\_Child | Sum of negative segment subscales for seniors  Sidewalk\_Neg\_Quals+Sidewalk\_Neg\_Slope\_C+BldgHt\_RdWdthSetbk\_Ratio\_Scores | 1-11 | 512 (r1), 513 (r2) | .675 | 40.4 | Rater 1 | 3.42 | 1.73 | See tables below |
| Rater 2 | 3.26 | 1.71 | See tables below |
| Overall\_Segments\_Senior | Positive segment score-negative segment score (seniors) | -2-16 | 508 (r1), 502 (r2) | .741 | 24.4 | Rater 1 | 7.25 | 3.34 | See tables below |
| Rater 2 | 7.28 | 3.27 | See tables below |
| Overall\_Segments\_Child | Positive segment score-negative segment score (children) | -2-16 | 508 (r1), 502 (r2) | .741 | 21.0 | Rater 1 | 7.25 | 3.34 | See tables below |
| Rater 2 | 7.28 | 3.27 | See tables below |

| **Segments\_Pos** | | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | 1.00 | | 2 | | .3 | | .3 | | .3 | |
| 2.00 | | 3 | | .5 | | .5 | | .9 | |
| 3.00 | | 11 | | 1.9 | | 1.9 | | 2.8 | |
| 4.00 | | 17 | | 2.9 | | 2.9 | | 5.7 | |
| 5.00 | | 24 | | 4.1 | | 4.1 | | 9.8 | |
| 6.00 | | 36 | | 6.1 | | 6.2 | | 16.0 | |
| 7.00 | | 38 | | 6.4 | | 6.6 | | 22.6 | |
| 8.00 | | 56 | | 9.5 | | 9.7 | | 32.2 | |
| 9.00 | | 70 | | 11.8 | | 12.1 | | 44.3 | |
| 10.00 | | 62 | | 10.5 | | 10.7 | | 55.0 | |
| 11.00 | | 60 | | 10.1 | | 10.3 | | 65.3 | |
| 12.00 | | 60 | | 10.1 | | 10.3 | | 75.7 | |
| 13.00 | | 44 | | 7.4 | | 7.6 | | 83.3 | |
| 14.00 | | 38 | | 6.4 | | 6.6 | | 89.8 | |
| 15.00 | | 16 | | 2.7 | | 2.8 | | 92.6 | |
| 16.00 | | 23 | | 3.9 | | 4.0 | | 96.6 | |
| 17.00 | | 13 | | 2.2 | | 2.2 | | 98.8 | |
| 18.00 | | 5 | | .8 | | .9 | | 99.7 | |
| 19.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 99.8 | |
| 20.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 580 | | 98.0 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | System | | 12 | | 2.0 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
| **r2\_Segments\_Pos** | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | 1.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | .2 | |
| 2.00 | | 4 | | .7 | | .7 | | .9 | |
| 3.00 | | 8 | | 1.4 | | 1.4 | | 2.3 | |
| 4.00 | | 6 | | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | 3.3 | |
| 5.00 | | 17 | | 2.9 | | 3.0 | | 6.3 | |
| 6.00 | | 42 | | 7.1 | | 7.3 | | 13.6 | |
| 7.00 | | 44 | | 7.4 | | 7.7 | | 21.3 | |
| 8.00 | | 69 | | 11.7 | | 12.0 | | 33.3 | |
| 9.00 | | 75 | | 12.7 | | 13.1 | | 46.4 | |
| 10.00 | | 67 | | 11.3 | | 11.7 | | 58.1 | |
| 11.00 | | 61 | | 10.3 | | 10.6 | | 68.8 | |
| 12.00 | | 47 | | 7.9 | | 8.2 | | 77.0 | |
| 13.00 | | 40 | | 6.8 | | 7.0 | | 83.9 | |
| 14.00 | | 26 | | 4.4 | | 4.5 | | 88.5 | |
| 15.00 | | 28 | | 4.7 | | 4.9 | | 93.4 | |
| 16.00 | | 20 | | 3.4 | | 3.5 | | 96.9 | |
| 17.00 | | 12 | | 2.0 | | 2.1 | | 99.0 | |
| 18.00 | | 4 | | .7 | | .7 | | 99.7 | |
| 19.00 | | 2 | | .3 | | .3 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 573 | | 96.8 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | System | | 19 | | 3.2 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
| **Segments\_Neg\_Child** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | | 1.00 | | 73 | | 12.3 | | 14.3 | | 14.3 | |
| 2.00 | | 94 | | 15.9 | | 18.4 | | 32.6 | |
| 3.00 | | 108 | | 18.2 | | 21.1 | | 53.7 | |
| 4.00 | | 121 | | 20.4 | | 23.6 | | 77.3 | |
| 5.00 | | 60 | | 10.1 | | 11.7 | | 89.1 | |
| 6.00 | | 29 | | 4.9 | | 5.7 | | 94.7 | |
| 7.00 | | 14 | | 2.4 | | 2.7 | | 97.5 | |
| 8.00 | | 10 | | 1.7 | | 2.0 | | 99.4 | |
| 9.00 | | 2 | | .3 | | .4 | | 99.8 | |
| 11.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 512 | | 86.5 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | | System | | 80 | | 13.5 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
| **r2\_Segments\_Neg\_Child** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | | 1.00 | | 97 | | 16.4 | | 18.9 | | 18.9 | |
| 2.00 | | 80 | | 13.5 | | 15.6 | | 34.5 | |
| 3.00 | | 125 | | 21.1 | | 24.4 | | 58.9 | |
| 4.00 | | 93 | | 15.7 | | 18.1 | | 77.0 | |
| 5.00 | | 68 | | 11.5 | | 13.3 | | 90.3 | |
| 6.00 | | 33 | | 5.6 | | 6.4 | | 96.7 | |
| 7.00 | | 8 | | 1.4 | | 1.6 | | 98.2 | |
| 8.00 | | 8 | | 1.4 | | 1.6 | | 99.8 | |
| 11.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 513 | | 86.7 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | | System | | 79 | | 13.3 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
| **Segments\_Neg\_Senior** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | | 1.00 | | 33 | | 5.6 | | 6.4 | | 6.4 | |
| 2.00 | | 58 | | 9.8 | | 11.3 | | 17.8 | |
| 3.00 | | 82 | | 13.9 | | 16.0 | | 33.8 | |
| 4.00 | | 79 | | 13.3 | | 15.4 | | 49.2 | |
| 5.00 | | 115 | | 19.4 | | 22.5 | | 71.7 | |
| 6.00 | | 70 | | 11.8 | | 13.7 | | 85.4 | |
| 7.00 | | 35 | | 5.9 | | 6.8 | | 92.2 | |
| 8.00 | | 19 | | 3.2 | | 3.7 | | 95.9 | |
| 9.00 | | 10 | | 1.7 | | 2.0 | | 97.9 | |
| 10.00 | | 9 | | 1.5 | | 1.8 | | 99.6 | |
| 11.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 99.8 | |
| 13.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 512 | | 86.5 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | | System | | 80 | | 13.5 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
| **r2\_Segments\_Neg\_Senior** | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | | 1.00 | | 45 | | 7.6 | | 8.8 | | 8.8 | |
| 2.00 | | 69 | | 11.7 | | 13.5 | | 22.2 | |
| 3.00 | | 74 | | 12.5 | | 14.4 | | 36.6 | |
| 4.00 | | 85 | | 14.4 | | 16.6 | | 53.2 | |
| 5.00 | | 99 | | 16.7 | | 19.3 | | 72.5 | |
| 6.00 | | 71 | | 12.0 | | 13.8 | | 86.4 | |
| 7.00 | | 42 | | 7.1 | | 8.2 | | 94.5 | |
| 8.00 | | 13 | | 2.2 | | 2.5 | | 97.1 | |
| 9.00 | | 6 | | 1.0 | | 1.2 | | 98.2 | |
| 10.00 | | 8 | | 1.4 | | 1.6 | | 99.8 | |
| 13.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 513 | | 86.7 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | | System | | 79 | | 13.3 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
|  | | | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| **Overall\_Segment\_Senior** | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | -2.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | .2 | |
| -1.00 | | 2 | | .3 | | .4 | | .6 | |
| .00 | | 5 | | .8 | | 1.0 | | 1.6 | |
| 1.00 | | 15 | | 2.5 | | 3.0 | | 4.5 | |
| 2.00 | | 12 | | 2.0 | | 2.4 | | 6.9 | |
| 3.00 | | 37 | | 6.3 | | 7.3 | | 14.2 | |
| 4.00 | | 31 | | 5.2 | | 6.1 | | 20.3 | |
| 5.00 | | 57 | | 9.6 | | 11.2 | | 31.5 | |
| 6.00 | | 60 | | 10.1 | | 11.8 | | 43.3 | |
| 7.00 | | 61 | | 10.3 | | 12.0 | | 55.3 | |
| 8.00 | | 45 | | 7.6 | | 8.9 | | 64.2 | |
| 9.00 | | 47 | | 7.9 | | 9.3 | | 73.4 | |
| 10.00 | | 51 | | 8.6 | | 10.0 | | 83.5 | |
| 11.00 | | 32 | | 5.4 | | 6.3 | | 89.8 | |
| 12.00 | | 19 | | 3.2 | | 3.7 | | 93.5 | |
| 13.00 | | 18 | | 3.0 | | 3.5 | | 97.0 | |
| 14.00 | | 8 | | 1.4 | | 1.6 | | 98.6 | |
| 15.00 | | 4 | | .7 | | .8 | | 99.4 | |
| 16.00 | | 3 | | .5 | | .6 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 508 | | 85.8 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | System | | 84 | | 14.2 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |
| **r2\_Overall\_Segment\_Senior** | | | | | | | | | | |
|  | | | Frequency | | Percent | | Valid Percent | | Cumulative Percent | |
| Valid | -2.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | .2 | |
| .00 | | 2 | | .3 | | .4 | | .6 | |
| 1.00 | | 6 | | 1.0 | | 1.2 | | 1.8 | |
| 2.00 | | 18 | | 3.0 | | 3.6 | | 5.4 | |
| 3.00 | | 51 | | 8.6 | | 10.2 | | 15.5 | |
| 4.00 | | 33 | | 5.6 | | 6.6 | | 22.1 | |
| 5.00 | | 44 | | 7.4 | | 8.8 | | 30.9 | |
| 6.00 | | 57 | | 9.6 | | 11.4 | | 42.2 | |
| 7.00 | | 59 | | 10.0 | | 11.8 | | 54.0 | |
| 8.00 | | 50 | | 8.4 | | 10.0 | | 63.9 | |
| 9.00 | | 45 | | 7.6 | | 9.0 | | 72.9 | |
| 10.00 | | 46 | | 7.8 | | 9.2 | | 82.1 | |
| 11.00 | | 30 | | 5.1 | | 6.0 | | 88.0 | |
| 12.00 | | 34 | | 5.7 | | 6.8 | | 94.8 | |
| 13.00 | | 12 | | 2.0 | | 2.4 | | 97.2 | |
| 14.00 | | 10 | | 1.7 | | 2.0 | | 99.2 | |
| 15.00 | | 1 | | .2 | | .2 | | 99.4 | |
| 16.00 | | 3 | | .5 | | .6 | | 100.0 | |
| Total | | 502 | | 84.8 | | 100.0 | |  | |
| Missing | System | | 90 | | 15.2 | |  | |  | |
| Total | | | 592 | | 100.0 | |  | |  | |

| **Overall\_Segment\_Child** | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -2.00 | 1 | .2 | .2 | 7.28 |
| -1.00 | 2 | .3 | .4 | .6 |
| .00 | 5 | .8 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| 1.00 | 15 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| 2.00 | 12 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 6.9 |
| 3.00 | 37 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 14.2 |
| 4.00 | 31 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 20.3 |
| 5.00 | 57 | 9.6 | 11.2 | 31.5 |
| 6.00 | 60 | 10.1 | 11.8 | 43.3 |
| 7.00 | 61 | 10.3 | 12.0 | 55.3 |
| 8.00 | 45 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 64.2 |
| 9.00 | 47 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 73.4 |
| 10.00 | 51 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 83.5 |
| 11.00 | 32 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 89.8 |
| 12.00 | 19 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 93.5 |
| 13.00 | 18 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 97.0 |
| 14.00 | 8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 98.6 |
| 15.00 | 4 | .7 | .8 | 99.4 |
| 16.00 | 3 | .5 | .6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 508 | 85.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 84 | 14.2 |  |  |
| Total | | 592 | 100.0 |  |  |
| **r2\_Overall\_Segment\_Child** | | | | | |
|  | | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | -2.00 | 1 | .2 | .2 | .2 |
| .00 | 2 | .3 | .4 | .6 |
| 1.00 | 6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| 2.00 | 18 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 5.4 |
| 3.00 | 51 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 15.5 |
| 4.00 | 33 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 22.1 |
| 5.00 | 44 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 30.9 |
| 6.00 | 57 | 9.6 | 11.4 | 42.2 |
| 7.00 | 59 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 54.0 |
| 8.00 | 50 | 8.4 | 10.0 | 63.9 |
| 9.00 | 45 | 7.6 | 9.0 | 72.9 |
| 10.00 | 46 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 82.1 |
| 11.00 | 30 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 88.0 |
| 12.00 | 34 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 94.8 |
| 13.00 | 12 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 97.2 |
| 14.00 | 10 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 99.2 |
| 15.00 | 1 | .2 | .2 | 99.4 |
| 16.00 | 3 | .5 | .6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 502 | 84.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 90 | 15.2 |  |  |
| Total | | 592 | 100.0 |  |  |